Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 236332

61

ORIGIN EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-05 L-01 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 DODE-00 SS-15 NSC-05 MC-01 /060 R

DRAFTED BY EUR/RPM:EREHFELD:BG APPROVED BY EUR/RPM:ESTREATOR OSD/ISA:MR. MILLER OSD/DDRANDE:MR. BASIL OSD/LANDL:MR. CUFFE

----- 004387

R 260121Z OCT 74 FM SECSTATE WASHDC TO USMISSION NATO

CONFIDENTIAL STATE 236332

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: OCON, MILI, NATO

SUBJECT: GUIDANCE FOR CNAD MEETING, OCTOBER 29-31, 1974

REF: AC/259-D/14

BEGIN SUMMARY: MESSAGE TRANSMITS POSITION SUMMARY AND KEY POINTS TO BE USED BY DIRECTOR DEFENSE RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING (DDRANDE), DR. CURRIE, AT THE CONFERENCE OF NATIONAL ARMAMENTS DIRECTORS (CNAD), OCTOBER 29-31, 1974, COMMENTS KEYED TO REFERENCE. END SUMMARY.

I. REPORT BY THE CHAIRMAN.

WE HAVE NOT RECEIVED A PRELIMINARY COPY OF THE REPORT. YOU SHOULD NOTE THE REPORT OF THE CHAIRMAN ON ELEMENTS AFFECTING NATO COOPERATION, AND COMMENT AS APPROPRIATE.

II. APPROVAL OF THE DECISION SHEET AND SUMMARY RECORD OF THE LAST MEETING. CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 236332

YOU MAY WISH TO MAKE POINT THAT DECISION SHEET MUST ACCURATELY REFLECT THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE CONFERENCE AND THE DECISIONS OF THE NADS.

III. NAVAL ISSUES OF CONCERN TO NATO.

THE USN PREPARED AND DDRANDE APPROVED PAPERS ON 11 ISSUES OF POSSIBLE COOPERATION AND THESE HAVE BEEN FORWARDED TO SACLANT FOR INCORPORATION INTO AC/259-D/401. YOU SHOULD COMMENT AS APPROPRIATE.

NAVAL ISSUES AC/259-D/403. THIS DOCUMENT WAS RECEIVED TOO LATE FOR FORMAL STAFFING AND REPLIES; HOWEVER, THE U.S. DELEGATION WILL HAVE PRELIMINARY COMMENTS. IF PARTICULAR ITEMS OF MUTUAL NATO INTEREST DEVELOP, WE WILL HAVE TO STUDY THE PROBLEMS AND ISSUES IN DEPTH.

IV. (A). FUTURE DIRECTION OF EFFORTS.

YOU SHOULD NOTE REPORT OF THE CHAIRMAN OF ELEMENTS AFFECTING NATO COOPERATION AND COMMENT AS APPROPRIATE.

(B). THE ALLIANCE DEFENSE INDUSTRY AND TECHNOLOGY.

YOU MAY COMMENT THAT THE ADIT STUDY IS CONSTRAINED TO THE BOUNDS SET BY CNAD, SPECIFICALLY PARAGRAPH 14, ANNEX II, AC/259-D/379. MAXIMUM USE SHOULD BE MADE OF AVAILABLE DATA. COLLECTION OF INFORMATION CONCERNING PRODUCTION, TEST AND EVALUATION, FACILITY ANALYSIS, AND COMPARATIVE ANALYSES OF WARSAW PACT EFFORTS SHOULD BE AVOIDED AT THIS PARTICULAR TIME.

V. (A). ELECTRONIC WARFARE.

THE U.S. WILL PRESENT A STATEMENT ON ELECTRONIC WARFARE. THE STATEMENT WILL (1) SUGGEST THAT CNAD DIRECT THE CHAIRMAN OF THE SUB-GROUP 11 TO REPORT T'E POSITIONS OF NATIONS REGARDING COOPERATIVE CHAFF DISPENSER PROGRAMS WITHIN 90 DAYS AND (2) THAT CNAD SHOULD TASK EACH OF THE CHAIRMEN OF THE EW GROUPS AND COMMITTEES TO REPORT STATUS AND PLANS CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 236332

AT THE NEXT CNAD MEETING. CNAD CAN THEN RECOMMEND SPECIFIC ACTIONS TO HELP IMPROVE NATO EW CAPABILITY. YOU SHOULD ENCOURAGE THOSE NATIONS INTERESTED IN JOINT MULTINATIONAL EW TRAINING PROGRAMS TO CONSIDER ESTABLISHMENT OF A JOINT NATO EW TEST RANGE.

(B). STANDARDIZATION OF NEW RIFLE, LIGHT AND MEDIUM INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS AND THEIR AMMUNITION.

YOU SHOULD SUPPORT DESIGNATION OF NATO STANDARD 7.62MM FOR RETENTION AS THE AMMUNITION FOR THE MEDIUM SUPPORT WEAPON. YOU SHOULD RECOMMEND INITIATION OF A STUDY OF ALTERNATIVES FOR A LIGHT SUPPORT WEAPON AND APPROVE THE NATO RIFLE SYSTEM TEST PROGRAM. SUPPORT ADOPTION OF THE U; STANDARD 5.56MM CARTRIDGE AS AN INTERIM NATO STANDARD

RIFLE CARTRIDGE. UNTIL COMPLETION OF THE NATO TEST PROGRAM, YOU SHOULD PROPOSE THAT NO DECISION ON CALIBRES OTER THAN EXISTING 7.62MM AND 5.56MM BE MADE.

(C). SURFACE TO AIR MISSILES.

YOU SHOULD NOTE REPORT AND COMMENT AS APPROPRIATE.

(D). AIRBORNE EARLY WARNING.

YOU SHOULD NOTE STATEMENTS BY NATO REPRESENTATIVES AND ANNOUNCE A EUROPEAN DEMONSTRATION OF AWACS MARITIME CAPABILITY PRIOR TO THE APRIL 75 CNAD. YOU SHOULD NOTE THE EXCELLENT PROGRESS OF THE SHAPE ROC, STG AND STC EFFORTS BUT EMPHASIZE THAT IT IS ESSENTIAL TO COMPLETE THE FUNDING, MANAGEMENT, OWNERSHIP, OPERATIONS, AND CONTROL STUDY FOR APPROVAL BY THE APRIL 1975 CNAD IN ORDER TO MEET THE JUNE 1975 MINISTERIAL MILESTONE.

VI. ACTIVITIES OF THE FIVE MAIN GROUPS.

(A). MAIN TANK ARMAMENTS EVALUATION.
THE UK MAY LEAD THE DISCUSSION. YOU SHOULD CONTINUE TO SUPPORT THE SCHEDULE AGREED UPON IN THE TRIPARTITE MOU. YOU MAY SUPPORT FRENCH PARTICIPATION AS OBSERVERS AND UNDER CONDITIONS DECIDED DURING THE US, UK AND FRG TRICONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 236332

LATERALS.

(B). WEAPONS FOR THE ATTACK OF AIRFIELDS AND SHELTERED AIRCRAFT

THE UK IS EXPECTED TO OFFER A PRESENTATION AND YOU SHOULD COMMENT AS APPROPRIATE. YOU SHOULD OFFER TO EXCHANGE INFORMATION AND IDEAS IN ORDER TO MAKE USEFUL PROGRESS IN COORDINATING OVERALL EFFORTS.

 $VII.\ \ NATO\ INDUSTRIAL\ ADVISORY\ GROUP\ (NIAG).$

(A). REPORT ON THE ACTIVITIES OF NIAG.

YOU SHOULD NOTE REPORT AND COMMENT AS APPROPRIATE.

(B). REPORT ON THE FEASIBILITY STUDY BY SUB-GROUP 2.

YOU SHOULD SUPPORT CONTINUATION OF FOLLOW-ON ACTIONS OF SUB-GROUP 2 AS A PROJECT GROUP PROVIDED THEY DO NOT BECOME INVOLVED IN PROPOSAL AND SOURCE EVALUATION.

NIAG SUB-GROUP 6. YOU SHOULD SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATION TO CONTINUE WORK THROUGH PHASE II.A. AND ALLOW RESIDUAL PHASE I FUNDS BE APPLIED TO PHASE II.A.

VIII. FOLLOW-UP TO THE NATO EXPLORATORY CONFERENCE ON PRODUCTION LOGISTICS (NECPL).

YOU SHOULD INDICATE THAT THE U.S. HAS REVIEWED THE THIRD REPORT TO THE NADREPS ON THIS SUBJECT. WHILE THE U.S. IS IN GENERAL AGREEMENT WITH MANY OF THE CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, THERE ARE SOME AREAS WHERE WE BELIEVE ADDITIONAL EFFORT IS REQUIRED. ACCORDINGLY, THE U.S. PLANS TO FORWARD THE RESULTS OF OUR REVIEW TO THE WORKING GROUP, WITH A COPY TO DR. TUCKER, FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION. IT SHOULD BE SUGGESTED THAT OTHER COUNTRIES MAY WANT TO FOLLOW THIS SAME PROCEDURE TO ASSIST THE WORKING GROUP IN ITS DETERMINATION OF THOSE AREAS WHERE FOLLOW-UP TO THE NECPL CAN BE MOST BENEFICIAL. INGERSOLL

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Concepts: INSTRUCTIONS, MEETINGS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 26 OCT 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974STATE236332

Document Number: 1974STATE236332 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: EREHFELD:BG Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D740307-0033

From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19741013/aaaaakny.tel Line Count: 192 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ORIGIN EUR Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: AC/259-D/14

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: golinofr Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 08 APR 2002

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <08 APR 2002 by boyleja>; APPROVED <06-Aug-2002 by golinofr>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: GUIDANCE FOR CNAD MEETING, OCTOBER 29-31, 1974

TAGS: OCON, MILI, NATO, CNAD

To: NATO BRUSSELS

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005